

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/630,230	ENGLHARD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Darren W. Gorman	3752	

All Participants:

(1) Darren W. Gorman.

(2) Rabinder Narula.

Date of Interview: 8 November 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: Being allowed with Examiner's Amendment

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:30 PM EST

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections under 112, second paragraph, as set forth in Office Action mailed April 20, 2005

Claims discussed:

18, 36-39, 42 and 44

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative to discuss an Examiner's Amendment in order to pass the case to issue. Applicant agreed to the Examiner's proposed amendments. Claims 18, 42 and 44 have been amended to overcome minor clarity issues. Claims 36-39 have been canceled because of 112, second paragraph issues that were not adequately overcome in Applicant's reply filed October 24, 2005.